THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE GODA RAGHURAM

WRIT PETITION No. 26467 of 2006

DATED: 20-12-2006

Between:

P.Vijay Kumar @ Kiran

…Petitioner

and

The Commissioner of Police,
Hyderabad and another

…Respondents.

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE GODA RAGHURAM

W.P.No. 26467 of 2006

Oral order:

The writ petition is fundamentally misconceived and is based on an assumption that this Court has jurisdiction to direct and issue guidance to the 1st respondent regarding the administration of the Commissionerate, Hyderabad.

The petitioner seeks the following relief:

> "to transfer the investigation of (1) Crime No. 247/2006 of P.S.Thirmulgerry, (2) Crime no. 243/2006 of P.S. Panjagutta, (e) Crime No. 626/2006 of P.S. Panjagutta; (4) Crime No. 222/2006 of P.S. Ramgopalpet; (5) Crime No. 631/2006 of P.S. Banjara Hills; (6) Crime no.228/2006 of P.S. Ramgopalpet; (7) Crime No. 232/2006 of P.S. Ramgopalpet; (8) Crime No. 404/2006 of P.S. Amberpet; (9) Crime No. 760/2006 of P.S. Banjara Hills; (10) Crime No. 394/2006 of P.S. Madapur; (11) Crime No. 371/2006 of P.S. Gopalpuram; (12) Crime No. 423/2006 of P.S. Neredmet; (13) Crime No.373/2006 of P.S. Gopalpuram; (14) Crime No. 275/2006 of P.S. Mahankali; (15) Crime No. 276/2006 of P.S. Mahankali; (16) Crime No. 162/2006 of P.S.Kulsumpura, to 2nd respondent police station where Crime No. 296/2006 of P.S. Shah Inayathgunj, is pending investigation."

According to the petitioner, on the basis of a complaint by one B.Nagender, Crime No. 296 of 2006 was registered by P.S. Shah Inayathgunj on 28-11-2006 for alleged offence under Section 397 of IPC wherein the petitioner is arrayed as the 1st accused. According to the petitioner, based onan extra-judicial confession statement

recorded by the 2nd respondent, the petitioner is shown as the 1st accused in 16 other crimes which are registered in several other police stations in the twin cities. According to the petitioner, since the investigation was done substantially by the 2nd respondent in Crime No. 296 of 2006 of P.S. Shah Inayathgunj, the 1st respondent should be directed to transfer all the 16 crimes to the 2nd respondent for investigation.

The petitioner assumes to advice the 1st respondent as to how to administer the Police Commissionerate at Hyderabad. The petitioner states that it is more advantageous if the 2nd respondent is directed to investigate all the 17 crimes registered against the petitioner. No such relief could be granted.

The writ petition is accordingly dismissed at the admission stage. There shall be no order as to costs.

_____

GODA RAGHURAM, J

20th December, 2006]
GRR